

# Shape optimization using structural adjoint and RBF mesh morphing

C. Groth, A. Chiappa, M. E. Biancolini\*

University of Rome «Tor Vergata»

Department of Enterprise Engineering «Mario Lucertini»

\* biancolini@ing.uniroma2.it







www.rbf-morph.com



• Implemented in RBF4AERO

'Innovative benchmark technology for aircraft engineering design and efficient design phase optimisation' partially funded by the EUs 7th Framework Programme (FP7-AAT, 2007-2013) under Grant Agreement no. 605396.



#### • Adjoint preview and **adjoint sculpting**

two optimization workflows using adjoint-based sensitivity data are proposed

- Preview: a number of shape parameters are evaluated using sensitivities.
- **Sculpting: the adjoint solver directly suggests shape evolution by imposing each boundary node movement**

#### • RBF at the core of the method

Radial Basis Functions (RBF) used to link the numerical analysis and optimization, exploited also for advanced tasks.

#### (rbf-morph)™ **ANSYS** • 10 years of experience in RBF + adjoint

RBF Morph Fluent Add On development started in 2007 and was coupled to the very first versions of the adjoint CFD solver of ANSYS Fluent. RBF Morph Stand Alone suitable for Open Foam was developed in 2012. RBF Morph ACT extension for ANSYS Mechanical was released in 2015.



• Human interaction as a bottleneck

The technological evolution allows now to solve complex problems in short time, making human interaction more relevant and sometime a bottleneck. Automatic workflows are attractive

- Optimization is often carried manually
- Optimal shape parameterization is difficult

Analizable systems are always more complex, making physical phenomena difficult to be predicted. An optimal shape parameterization is hard to be chosen especially in a multi-physics scenario

#### • Advanced manufacturing processes

Evolution of manufacturing processes (i.e AM) requires advanced free-form optimization workflows. Current practice is focused on standard production technology



#### **Motivation**







Evolution of manufacturing processes (i.e AM) requires advanced free-form optimization workflows. Current practice is focused on standard production technology









• System behavior depends on BC

The result of the calculation changes depending on how sensitive is the system to BC variations.

- Several methods to achieve sensitivities Finite differencing, complex-step differentiation, automatic differentiation, adjoint method
- CFD and in-house **FEM adjoint solver**

ANSYS® Fluent®, NTUA OpenFOAM® implementation, **Adjoint variable continuum-discrete in-house solver**



Shape parameterization



• Radial Basis Functions





• Radial Basis Functions

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i \phi(||\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{x}||) + p(\mathbf{x}) \quad p(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_3 x_2 + \dots + \beta_{n+1} x_n
$$

 $f(\mathbf{c}_i) = g_i$ 

 $m$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\nf^{x}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i}^{x} \phi(||\mathbf{c}_{i} - \mathbf{x}||) + \beta_{1}^{x} + \beta_{2}^{x} x_{1} + \beta_{3}^{x} x_{2} + \beta_{4}^{x} x_{3} \\
f^{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i}^{y} \phi(||\mathbf{c}_{i} - \mathbf{x}||) + \beta_{1}^{y} + \beta_{2}^{y} x_{1} + \beta_{3}^{y} x_{2} + \beta_{4}^{y} x_{3} \\
f^{z}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_{i}^{z} \phi(||\mathbf{c}_{i} - \mathbf{x}||) + \beta_{1}^{z} + \beta_{2}^{z} x_{1} + \beta_{3}^{z} x_{2} + \beta_{4}^{z} x_{3}\n\end{cases}\n\mathbf{x} =\n\begin{cases}\nx_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}\n\end{cases}\n\mathbf{c} =\n\begin{cases}\nc_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}\n\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P}^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \gamma \\ \beta \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{Bmatrix} \quad M_{ij} = \phi(||\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j||) \quad P_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}
$$



#### • Radial Basis Functions







• Radial Basis Functions: basic shape modifications



Shape parameterization



• Squaring of the circle? Sphering of the Cube!





• How to provide meaningful shape variations?

Sensitivity data naturally sculpts surfaces

• Gradient-based algorithm

Direction search and step given by adjoint solution

• Noisy sensitivity data

Especially for CFD applications, noisy sensitivity maps can result in ill-posed problems

#### • Design constraints

Packaging and functional constraints can be maintained using RBF



• Noise filtering: RBF least squares smoothing

Data is sub-sampled, error between full and reduced problems is minimized

$$
f_c(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_c} \gamma_j \phi(||c_{cj} - \mathbf{x}||) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{m} [f_c(c_i) - g_i]^2
$$
  

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P}_c^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P}_c^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \gamma \\ \beta \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P}_c^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{g} \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}
$$
  

$$
M_{ij} = \phi(||c_i - c_{cj}||)
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}
$$

$$
(\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{b})
$$



• Evolutionary reshape: new set-up at each iteration

Fixed set-up to force constraints, moving set-up to apply evolutionary shape variations









• Implemented in **ANSYS Mechanical** using ACT

Adjoint variable continuum-discrete in-house solver. Works for linear 3-d hexa elements. Two linear static analyses are solved for the same model (original problem and augmented problem). Observable can be the displacement of a FEM node. Node position sensitivities are computed on all the nodes of the surface.

### • Mesh morphing by **RBF Morph ACT extensions**

Computed sensitivities computed on the solid surface are optionally filtered and then transformed in an input map for the RBF Morph ACT extension.

#### • Adjoint sculpting loops

At the moment the calculation of the base and augmented solution is manually triggered by the user. Morphed mesh can be maintained for a subsequent step or reverted to the previous one if constrains are met or the mesh quality limit is reached.

#### • Back2CAD

A NURBS representation of the optimised surfaces can be achieved by CAD morphing (RBF Morph) or by a CAD reconstruction (FEModeler) that preserves the topology of the base underlying geometrical model.



#### • The discrete case

- Observed function  $ψ = f(X(u), u)$  (for instance the displacement of a point) total derivative vs. the input parameter  $u$  (for instance the variation of a grid node coordinate)
- $\cdot$   $\frac{d\psi}{d\psi}$  $\frac{d\psi}{du} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$  $\partial$ **X**  $\partial$ **X**  $\partial u$
- A linear system  $K X = F$  can be differentiated
- $K \frac{\partial X}{\partial u} + X \frac{\partial K}{\partial u}$  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u}$   $\mathbf{K} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial u}$  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u} - \mathbf{X} \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial u}$  $\partial u$
- And the sensitivity computed using the direct method (fast for many observables and a few input parameters)
- $\cdot$   $\frac{d\psi}{d\psi}$  $\frac{d\psi}{du} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u} - \mathbf{X} \right. \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial u}$  $\partial u$
- The adjoint system can be solved once (it's a function of observable regardless the input varied)

• 
$$
\mathbf{K}\lambda = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

- And the sensitivity computed using the adjoint method (fast for a few observables and many input parameters)
- $\cdot$   $\frac{d\psi}{d\psi}$  $\frac{d\psi}{du} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} + \lambda^{\text{T}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u} - \mathbf{X} \right. \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial u}$  $\partial u$

Bracket



#### • Displacement minimization

Young's modulus = 200 Gpa, Poisson's ratio =  $0.3$ , F = 5000 N along the x axis, fixed hole Step length is varied at each cycle to assure a maximum displacement of 1 mm



#### Bracket







• Displacement reduction

22% after 9 cycles with regard to original displacement

• Optimal shape variation

6% increase in mass compared to 9% increase achieved employing zero order methods with constant thickness

T-beam



#### • Displacement free end minimization

Young's modulus = 200 GPa, Poisson's ratio = 0.3, F = 10000 N load



#### T-beam







#### • Achieved results

25% reduction after 21 cycles with regard to original displacement



• Adjoint-sculpting automatic workflow

The adjoint shape optimization workflow was shown for FEM applications but can be applied also for other physics. CFD implementation using **Open Foam** + RBF Morph Stand Alone and **ANSYS Fluent** + RBF Morph Fluent Add On are well established.

#### • RBF for shape parameterization

Automatic reshape in adjoint sculpting can be enabled thanks to advanced RBF mesh morphing. Packaging and functional constraints are maintained. A new CAD representation can be generated.

#### • RBF for advanced tasks

RBF are used to filter noisy data, to define implicit surfaces for offset or projection modifiers.

#### • FEM and CFD applications

Tools and workflows implemented in RBF4AERO were tested in FEM and CFD applications. A rich collection of aeronautical applications is available on [www.rbf4aero.eu](http://www.rbf4aero.eu/). Industrial applications of RBF mesh morphing for ANSYS solvers (ANSYS Mechanical and ANSYS Fluent) are available on [www.rbf-morph.com](http://www.rbf-morph.com/) and on [www.ansys.com.](http://www.ansys.com/) The complete description of the adjoint solver is available in the PhD Thesis of Dr. C. Groth "Adjoint-based shape optimization workflows using RBF". https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316788911 Adjoint-based shape optimization workflows using RBF



# RBF4AERO \*\*

#### THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

paper 913 - Groth Chiappa Biancolini 22 AIAS2017 - 6-9 September PISA Italy 22



# Shape optimization using structural adjoint and RBF mesh morphing

C. Groth, A. Chiappa, M. E. Biancolini\*

University of Rome «Tor Vergata»

Department of Enterprise Engineering «Mario Lucertini»

\* biancolini@ing.uniroma2.it







www.rbf-morph.com