Aerodynamic Optimization of a MotoGP Motorcycle using CFD and Mesh Morphing



Aerodynamic Optimization of a MotoGP Motorcycle using CFD and Mesh Morphing





CFD approach

Relevant equations

Istantaneous Navier-Stokes equations:

• Conservation of mass:

 $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$

Reynolds decomposition: u = U + u'

• Conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j}$$

Averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS):

• Conservation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

• Conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t} + U_j \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\nu \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} - \overline{u'_i u'_j} \right)$$
Turbulence







Mesh Morphing



v1 model

Optimizations

Helmet

Reason:

Turbulence between the helmet and the rider's back

Modification:

Downward translation of the helmet

Involved surfaces:

- Moving: Helmet
- Free to move: Neck
- Blocked: Tank, handlebar, windshield



v1 model



	Initial	v1	Gap
Cd	0.4900	0.4973	<mark>+1.4897 %</mark>
CI	0.0860	0.0807	<mark>-6.1627 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	489.7514	+2.5259
F _I [N]	85.5130	79.4750	-6.0379



v1 model



v2 model

Optimizations

Front fairing

Reason:

Turbulence on the handlebar and on rider's shoulders

Modifications:

Increase and decrease width of front fairing

Involved surfaces:

- Moving: Front fairing
- Free to move: Dash-board
- Blocked: Frame, radiator, handlebar



v2 model

Optimizations

Front fairing

	Initial	Increase width	Gap
Cd	0.4900	0.5026	<mark>+2.5714 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.0922	<mark>+7.2093 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	499.7731	+12.5476
F _I [N]	85.5130	91.6814	+6.1683

	Initial	Decrease width	Gap
C _d	0.4900	0.4717	<mark>-3.7346 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.1053	<mark>+22.4418 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	467.2327	-19.9927
F _I [N]	85.5130	104.3027	+18.7896



v2 model



v3 model



v3 model

Optimizations

Lower fairing

	Initial	Counterclockwise	Gap
Cd	0.4900	0.4909	<mark>+0.1836 %</mark>
CI	0.0860	0.0687	<mark>-20.1162 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	489.8727	+2.6472
F ₁ [N]	85.5130	68.5562	-16.9567

	Initial	Clockwise	Gap
C _d	0.4900	0.4849	<mark>-1.040 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.0914	<mark>+6.2790 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	482.0266	-5.1987
F _I [N]	85.5130	90.8584	+5.3453



v3 model



v4 model



v4 model

Optimizations

	Initial	Increased	Gap
Cd	0.4900	0.4912	<mark>+0.2448 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.0897	<mark>+4.3023 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	488.4186	+1.1932
F _I [N]	85.5130	89.1920	+3.6790

	Initial	Decreased	Gap
C _d	0.4900	0.4880	<mark>-0.4081 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.0840	<mark>-2.3255 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	485.2367	-1.9886
F _I [N]	85.5130	83.5243	-1.9886



Rear seat width

v4 model



v5 model



Reason:

Turbulence behind the bike

Modification:

Increase and decrease height of the rear seat

Involved surfaces:

- Moving: Rear seat
- Free to move: Rear seat support
- Blocked: Seat, rider's body, exhaust



v5 model

Optimizations

	Initial	Increased	Gap
Cd	0.4900	0.4890	<mark>-0.2040 %</mark>
Cı	0.0860	0.0938	<mark>+9.0697 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	486.2311	-0.9943
F ₁ [N]	85.5130	93.2688	+7.7558

	Initial	Decreased	Gap
C _d	0.4900	0.4851	<mark>-1.023 %</mark>
CI	0.0860	0.0870	<mark>+1.1627 %</mark>
F _d [N]	487.2254	482.3532	-4.8722
F ₁ [N]	85.5130	86.5073	+0.9943



Rear seat height

v5 model



Multi-Sol model



Selection criterion:

Superposition of the best optimizations for each turbulence zone

Method:

Use of Multi-Sol with predetermined amplification coefficient

Involved surfaces:

• Superposition of individual surfaces



Multi-Sol model

Optimizations

0,6 F_d[N] **F**₁ [N] C Cd 85.5130 Initial 0.4900 0.0860 487.2255 0,5 Helmet +1.4897 % -6.1627 % +2.5259-6.038 0,4 translation Front fairing +5.0408 % -20.4651 % -17.8140+22.19950,3 decrease Lower fairing 0,2 +4.8979 % -25.8140 % +21.8468-22.3247 rotation (CCW) Rear seat width 0,1 +7.3265 % -27.2093 % -23.3415 +35.0749decrease 0 Rear seat +4.2653 % -10.0090 % +18.7765-8.8550 0 2 3 4 5 height decrease -Cd -Cl

Combination

The values of the gaps represented in this table are referred to the optimization above, allowing to sequentially check which is more significant for the final value. The last values represent the combination of all the five optimizations.

Multi-Sol model



Conclusions

Results analysis

Best and worst coefficients

Individual improvement

Combination

	C _d %	C _I %
Helmet translation	+1.4897	-6.1627
Front fairing increase	<mark>+2.5714</mark>	+7.2093
Front fairing decrease	<mark>-3.7346</mark>	<mark>+22.4418</mark>
Lower fairing rotation (CCW)	+0.1836	<mark>-20.1162</mark>
Lower fairing rotation (CW)	-1.0408	+6.2790
Increase rear seat width	+0.2448	+4.3023
Decrease rear seat width	-0.4081	-2.3255
Increase rear seat height	-0.2040	+9.0697
Decrease rear seat height	-1.0013	+1.1627

	C _d %	C _I %
Initial	-	-
Helmet translation	<mark>+1.4897</mark>	<mark>-6.1627</mark>
Front fairing decrease	+5.0408	-20.4651
Lower fairing rotation (CCW)	+4.8979	-25.8139
Decrease rear seat width	<mark>+7.3265</mark>	<mark>-27.2093</mark>
Decrease rear seat height	+4.2653	-10.0411

The values of the gaps represented in this table are referred to the optimization above, allowing to sequentially check which is more significant for the final value.

The last values represent the combination of all the five optimizations.

Future developments

- More combinations of the improvements
- Add new aerodinamic elements to the model:
 - Wheel covers
 - Front wings
 - Rear wings
 - Air conveyor





Thanks for the attention